

Ward Boundary Review

Update and Boundary Realignment Options
City Clerk's Office, Legislative Services
October 2, 2024




Introduction

The City of Brampton has been reviewing its ward boundaries which were established in 2014.

A ward is a geographical division of a city for administrative and political purposes. Since 2014, the city has experienced a significant growth in population and some areas of the city have seen more growth than others.

This review aims to establish fair and equitable wards, with balanced representation of the citizens at the Council table.

This presentation includes some preliminary ward boundary realignment options for Council's consideration.



WBR – Basic Assumptions, Guiding Principles and Review Criteria

Basic Assumptions

- 10 wards
- 10 Councillors, plus Mayor
- Maintain ward pairings


Review Criteria

- Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- Representation by population
- Population trends and growth
- Physical boundaries
- Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities


Additional Considerations

- History of previous ward boundary changes
- Outcomes of relevant Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) hearings and Supreme Court case decisions
- Future growth with the intent that any ward boundary changes will be relevant for the next 2-3 elections
- Public input
- Political representation at both the City and the Region of Peel
- Various catchment areas for City services (e.g. recreation, snow maintenance, Fire, etc.)

Timelines for Conducting the Review




Timelines Post-Review



Proposed Options

- Staff's approach included reviewing the west side of the city and the east side separately, with Highway 410 as a physical boundary dividing the city vertically
- Staff have developed two options for the west side of the city, and two for the east side, that were combined to form the preliminary proposed options (Options 1-4)
 - All options meet the review criteria, falling within the generally acceptable population variance of 25%; for some wards, the population variance is under 10%
 - Population variance for ward pairings is under 15% in most cases
- Additional scenarios were developed based on review criteria considerations and requests from some Members of Council
 - In each additional scenario, all review criteria was not met. These scenarios have been included for reference purposes in the report (appendices)

West Side – Option 1




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments

- Keeping established neighbourhoods together:
 - Van Kirk currently divided between 2 and 6; united in proposed 2
 - Royal Orchard currently divided between 1 and 5; united in proposed 1
 - New Springbrook currently divided between 3 and 4; united in proposed 4
- Downtown remains divided between 1 and 3

West Side – Option 2




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments

- Keeping established neighbourhoods together:
 - Van Kirk currently divided between 2 and 6; united in proposed 2
 - Royal Orchard currently divided between 1 and 5; united in proposed 1
 - New Springbrook currently divided between 3 and 4; united in proposed 4
- Mount Pleasant moves from 6 to 5
- Creditview currently in 6; proposed splits it between 5 and 6
- Downtown remains divided between 1 and 3

East Side – Option 1




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments

- Keeping established neighbourhoods together:
 - Norton Park currently split between 3 and 7; proposed moves it entirely into 7
 - Bramalea E-F-K section currently split between 7 and 8; proposed moves it entirely into 7

East Side – Option 2




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments

- Keeping established neighbourhoods together:
 - Norton Park currently split between 3 and 7; proposed moves it entirely into 7
 - Bramalea E-F-K section currently split between 7 and 8; proposed moves it entirely into 7
- Professor's Lake currently in 8; proposed splits it between 7 and 8

Proposed Option 1 – Entire City



Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments

- Combines Option 1 – West and Option 1 – East
- Options have the most ideal variance and follow review criteria




Current Ward Boundaries	Ward 5	Year 2022
Proposed Boundaries - Option 1 West & 1 East	Ward 6	Year 2026
Ward 1	Ward 7	Year 2030
Ward 2	Ward 8	Year 2034
Ward 3	Ward 9	
Ward 4	Ward 10	

0 0.5 1 2 Kilometers


Date: 2024/09/23



Proposed Option 1 – Entire City



Proposed Option 2 – Entire City




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments


- Combines Option 2 – West and Option 2 – East




<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current Ward Boundaries Proposed Boundaries - Option 2 West and 2 East Ward 1 Ward 2 Ward 3 Ward 4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ward 5 Ward 6 Ward 7 Ward 8 Ward 9 Ward 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Year 2022 Year 2026 Year 2030 Year 2034
---	---	--



Proposed Option 2 – Entire City



Proposed Option 3 – Entire City




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities


Comments

- Combines Option 1 – West and Option 2 – East

Proposed Option 3 – Entire City



Proposed Option 4 – Entire City




Review Criteria

- ✓ Effective representation – the primary goal of a review
- ✓ Representation by population
- ✓ Population trends and growth
- ✓ Physical boundaries
- ✓ Protection of established neighbourhoods and communities

Comments


- Combines Option 2 – West and Option 1 – East

Proposed Option 4 – Entire City



Next Steps

- Staff is seeking Council input and to identify one (1) or more options to be put forth for public input
- Public consultation period anticipated to begin later in October
- Staff will return to Committee of Council in Q4 with results of public consultation, and to seek decision on review



Thank you!

